1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. <b>2:24-cv-00287-CKD</b>
12	Plaintiff,	INITIAL SCHEDULING ORDER, CASES
13	v.	ASSIGNED UNDER APP. A, SUB (M)
14	MATTHEW H. PETERS, ET AL.,	
15	Defendant(s).	
16		
17	This action has been assigned to a Magistrate Judge under the court's automated case	
18	assignment plan. See Local Rules, Appendix A, subsection (m).	
19	Should the parties wish to consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge for all purposes,	
20	including for the entry of final judgment, they may do so using the "Consent to Assignment or Request	
21	for Reassignment" form. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). There is no obligation to consent, and the judges will	
22	not be notified of a party's designation unless all parties have consented. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 73(b)(1).	
23	If any party requests reassignment to a United States District Judge, the Clerk of the Court will assign	
24	a random District Judge as presiding judge, with the Magistrate Judge continuing for those purposes	
25	anticipated by the Local Rules. Because a consent designation assists the court in determining how	
26	the action will be administratively processed, the parties are instructed to make their election promptly	
27	and notify the Clerk of the Court as soon as practicable.	
28	/////	